1	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP		
2			
3	Telephone: (415) 576-0200 Facsimile: (415) 576-0300		
4			
5	gsgilchrist@townsend.com; tlalban@townsend.com		
6			
7	Williams-Sonoma, Inc.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9			
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	WILLIAMS-SONOMA, INC.	Case No. 3:07-CV-5365-MEJ	
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT UPON CONSENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
13	v.		
14	IDEANA.COM, a California Company, and NASSERY OZEIR, an individual	INJUNCTION	
15	TVASSERT OZEIK, ali likivikkai		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	1. Plaintiff Williams-Sonoma, Inc. ("WSI") has filed a Complaint alleging copyright		
19	infringement, trademark infringement, counterfeiting and false designation of origin, dilution, and		
20	unfair competition under federal and California law against defendants Ideana.com ("Ideana") and		
21	Nassery Ozeir (collectively, "Defendants"). WSI alleges that Defendants have engaged in a practice		
22	of electronically copying product images displayed in WSI's Pottery Barn brand catalogs and		
23	converting them to their own use and that such practices infringe WSI's intellectual property rights		
24	and causes WSI irreparable harm and competitive disadvantage. The Court now enters final		
25	judgment based upon the following stipulated facts.		
26	I. STIPULATED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS		
27	A. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this lawsuit and personal jurisdiction		
28	over Defendants. Venue is proper in this Court.		

WSI owns a federal trademark registration for POTTERY BARN (Registration No.

2021077; first used April of 1956; registered on December 3, 1996) for use on a variety of furniture

and home furnishings. This registration, and all other WSI registrations, applications, and common

reference to WSI's "Pottery Barn" brands, will be referred to collectively hereafter as "Pottery Barn

Defendant Ideana is a California company based in Alameda County, California.

Defendants have made electronic copies of WSI's copyrighted images and displayed

law marks incorporating the Pottery Barn® name, including "PB," which is a common consumer

Ideana is owned and operated by defendant Nassery Ozeir. At the time of filing of the lawsuit,

Defendants operated a website through which Defendants advertised and sold home furnishings.

them on their website and in Internet classified advertisements in order to sell their own products. In

addition, Defendants promoted and sold furniture and other home items using the Pottery Barn Mark,

including proclaiming that they sell "Pottery Barn Style" furniture and embedding the words "pottery

barn" into its Craigslist.org advertisements so that searches for the Pottery Barn® mark and products

on Craigstlist.org would also yield Defendants' own advertisements, featuring the stolen images from

WSI alleges that the Defendants' practices described above created consumer

Marks." WSI also owns valid copyright registrations for its Pottery Barn® catalogs.

Defendants also advertised their products through Internet classified listings.

B.

C.

D.

WSI's catalogs.

E.

1

7

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

II. ORDER AND INJUNCTION

It is hereby ordered and adjudged as follows:

confusion, were deceptive and caused WSI irreparable harm.

- 1. Defendants shall pay the sum of \$1,000 to WSI in two equal payments of \$500 each, to be paid in full by February 29, 2008.
- 2. Commencing as of the "So Ordered" date of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction, Defendants, including its principals, agents, employees, officers, directors, servants, successors, and assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participating with it or under its control who receive actual notice of this Order, are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained, directly or

indirectly, from doing, authorizing or procuring any persons to do any of the following:

28

1	5. In the event that Defendants violate the terms of this Judgment, Defendants shall pay	
2	WSI for WSI's reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action and any enforcement or	
3	contempt proceedings against Defendants. For any future proceeding to enforce the terms of this	
4	Judgment, service by mail upon a party or their counsel of record at their last known address shall be	
5	adequate notice for each party.	
6		
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.	
9		
10	DATED:	
11	Hon. Maria-Elena James United States Magistrate Judge	
12	Omitad Sunta Mugastata Mugastata	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		